

EQUINE PRACTICE — ORTHOPEDICS

The main goal of the medical therapy of DJD is to restore and maintain normal joint function by alleviating joint pain, decreasing joint inflammation, and protecting the cartilage from further injury. The role of substances termed "chondroprotective agents" that counter the destructive inflammatory process and encourage normalization of the synovial fluid and cartilage matrix is explored in this paper.

UPDATE AND CURRENT TRENDS

Oral Glycosaminoglycans in Treatment of Degenerative Joint Disease in Horses

R. Reid Hanson, DVM

Dipl. ACVS

Large Animal Surgery and Medicine

133 McAdory Hall

Auburn University

Auburn, Alabama 36849-5522

Introduction

Articular cartilage is composed of chondrocytes which synthesize and deposit around themselves a predominately water matrix and giant molecules. This extracellular matrix, which gives cartilage its properties of resiliency and tensile strength, consists of collagen and proteoglycans. Aggregate proteoglycans consist mainly of hyaluronic acid and glycosaminoglycans (GAGs).^{1,2} Cartilage is unique among body tissues by being avascular, aneural, and alymphatic. As a result, the supply of vital nutrients to cartilage is barely adequate to maintain normal turnover. Thus, any insult can easily affect the nutritional state of cartilage. These unavoidable insults are commonly encountered during the life of the horse and include:

- acute traumatic injury to joint structure;
- chronic joint overuse;
- focal points of loading on cartilage surfaces;
- atherosclerosis of blood vessels that contribute to cartilage nutrition;
- joint immobilization and even anti-inflammatory drugs (especially corticosteroids and many non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs]).³

Such conditions result in a need for augmented synthesis of cartilage that often generates extremely large demands of raw materials for collagen and proteoglycans. If the raw materials (nutrients) are not available in the amounts required, the synthesis process is impaired and the cartilage loses its ability to replenish itself.

Degenerative joint changes have been discovered in prehistoric animal fossils and in the joints of Egyptian mummies.⁴ Despite the long existence of this disease, little is known concerning its etiology and factors that trigger its development.⁵ In degenerative joint disease (DJD), changes occur both in the articular cartilage matrix and synovial fluid. Destructive enzymes released in response to inflammation damage chondrocytes, degrade collagen and proteoglycans, and alter hyaluronic acid.⁵ A decrease in GAG content in osteoarthritic cartilage is directly proportionate with the severity of the disease.⁶⁻⁹ With a loss of GAG content the articular cartilage loses its elasticity and ability to bear and transmit forces efficiently, resulting in a cascading cycle of more cartilage insults.¹ This complex process results in the net loss of cartilage matrix and eventual death of the chondrocytes.¹

Continued

The usual symptoms are pain and dysfunction of the affected joint.

DJD and its associated joint pathology contributes significantly to musculoskeletal lameness and loss of function in performance and pleasure horses.^{10,11} The pathologic changes characteristic of DJD are:

- wear lines, discoloration, fibrillation and ulceration of the articular cartilage;
- subchondral bone sclerosis and trabecular thickening; thickening of the joint capsule;
- lipping of joint margins due to remodeling of cartilage and subchondral bone;
- formation of periarticular osteophytes at capsular attachments;
- chip fractures of joint margins due to fragmentation of the margin or of the new bone.

Although the pathology of the problem is simplified to a certain extent by this overview, the fact remains that the clinician does not see all manifestations in all cases. As a result, a management plan should be proposed based upon the visible lesions and on an understanding of DJD generally, because when the clinician makes the diagnosis of DJD, the articular cartilage is already damaged.

Treatment of DJD

The main goal of the medical therapy of DJD is to restore and maintain normal joint function by alleviating joint pain, decreasing joint inflammation, and protecting the cartilage from further injury (i.e., to control the progression of the disease). The current medical management of osteoarthritis is largely palliative, focusing on the amelioration of pain and the suppression of inflammation mostly through analgesics and/or steroidal and nonsteroidal drug therapy (NSAIDs).¹²

Uncertainties have been raised about the effects of NSAIDs on the progression of DJD, since conflicting results have been reported from *in vitro*,^{13,14} and *in vivo* experiments^{15,16} in animals and in humans.¹⁷ Clinical data suggest that the apparent deleterious impact of some NSAIDs on DJD progression may be due to their inhibitory activity on the synthesis of prostaglandins.^{17,18} These perplexities regarding the long-term use of NSAIDs, including their known pattern of common side effects, along with the expanding knowledge of the cartilage biochemistry and DJD pathophysiology,^{5,11} has prompted research on a series of new agents that relieve pain and inflammation and limit or reverse cartilage degeneration without side effects.^{19,20}

Current research in the medical management of osteoarthritis has focused on slowing the process of cartilage degradation and promotion of cartilage matrix synthesis.²⁰ This research identified substances, termed "chondroprotective agents", that counter the destructive inflammatory process and encourage normalization of the synovial fluid and cartilage matrix.²¹ A chondroprotective agent provides the following attributes:

- supported chondrocyte synthesis of collagen and proteoglycans;
- supported production of hyaluronans;
- inhibition of cartilage degradative enzymes; and
- prevention of fibrin formation in synovial fluid and plaque formation in subchondral vessels.²²

Macromolecules endogenous to cartilage and their semisynthetic or synthetic analogues have been shown to accomplish some, but not all of these objectives.²³⁻²⁸ Because the roles and functions of endogenous macromolecules are integral to cartilage metabolism, they alone appear able from a pharmacological perspective to meet multiple objectives.^{23,27,28} However, at present, no single macromolecule appear able to accomplish all of the stated objectives. Combining the use of anti-inflammatory or analgesic drugs with macromolecules has met some but not all of the objectives.^{28,29} However, adverse side effects of the drugs reduced therapeutic benefits of the combination.

Thus, a new approach to combine compounds of complimentary functions without causing adverse side effects is needed. Such a combination may exist by combining glucosamine salts and chondroitin sulfate. This combination has been used in veterinary medicine for 3 years in the US to treat DJD with favorable results and no side effects.³⁰⁻³⁵ Such compounds may be useful as prophylactic and/or therapeutic agents in the management of DJD. The orally bioavailable products of these agents would be particularly useful in routine clinical management of DJD, especially in light of the chronicity of DJD and the need of long-term management.

Glucosamine (GIAm) is an amino-monosaccharide nutrient. It is a precursor of the disaccharide unit of GAGs, which is the building block of the ground substance of the articular cartilage, the proteoglycans.^{21,36-38} Biochemical and pharmacological studies indicate that administration of GIAm tends to normalize cartilage metabolism and stimulates the synthesis of proteoglycans so that articular function is partially restored.^{21,36-38} GIAm has not been shown to inhibit the synthesis of prostaglandins and has no known toxicity at high dose

Continued

levels. Compared to indomethacin, the therapeutic margin with regard to prolonged treatment was 10 to 30 times more favorable for GlAm.^{29,36,37} Exogenous glucosamine salts significantly enhance chondrocyte synthesis of glycosaminoglycans and collagen, and ameliorate the clinical signs of DJD in humans without side effects.^{21,27,28,36-38} Furthermore, they have been hypothesized to enhance synthesis of synovial fluid hyaluronan.³ The physicochemical properties of glucosamine account for a favorable pharmacokinetic profile including oral bioavailability and specific cartilage tropism, as was shown in animals and humans using radiolabeled compounds. Excretion is primarily via urine and feces, with 87% of orally administered glucosamine being absorbed.^{39,40}

Chondroitin sulfate (CS) is a long chain polymer of a repeating disaccharide unit: galactosamine sulfate and glucuronic acid. It is the predominant GAG found in articular cartilage and is a natural component of several other tissues (i.e., tendons, bone, vertebral discs, heart and cornea) found in the body.⁴¹ Different from glucosamine, CS stimulate glycosaminoglycan and proteoglycan synthesis by extracellular as well as intracellular mechanisms. CS, by virtue of its long chain length, competitively inhibits degradative enzymes of proteoglycans in cartilage and synovial fluid.^{3,26,30,42-43} Bioavailability has been well documented with 70% absorption following oral administration in experimental animals and in humans.^{41,44,45} Its affinity for synovial fluid and articular cartilage has also been demonstrated.⁴⁵ CS has been shown to be effective in reducing the symptoms of DJD and is well tolerated systemically in many clinical studies⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ and in many randomized, double-blinded, controlled clinical trials.^{49,50} There is a reduction of pain and improved mobilization in sufferers of arthritis treated with CS. A reduction in use of concomitant NSAIDs and a favorable carry-over effect after termination of the treatment has also been reported.^{49,50}

A synergistic, rather than an additive effect would be expected by combining glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate, since both agents are endogenous to chondrocytes, and chondroitin sulfates possess extracellular properties not found with glucosamine.^{31,33,35} Both of these connective tissue compounds have been purified to homogeneity, and are available for use as oral or injectable agents. These agents work synergistically in forming GAGs, inhibiting degradative enzymes, and upregulating cartilage and metabolism and matrix production.^{3,51}

Current Clinical Evidence

Hanson and associates⁵² conducted a clinical efficacy study on 25 horses with naturally occurring DJD for 6 weeks. A significant improvement of the horses treated with the tested glucosamine-chondroitin sulfate compound (Cosequin®: Nutramax Laboratories, Inc., Baltimore, MD; consists of glucosamine, chondroitin sulfate, manganese and ascorbate) was observed irrespective of age, joint affected, or use of the horse. All of the clinical parameters measured (lameness, flexion test score and stride length) showed trends of improvement of clear clinical importance, which was statistically significant ($p = 0.001$). In most cases the exercise and activity of the horses was increased, while some horses returned to competition soon after therapy. The findings of that study are in agreement with previous reports about the efficacy of the studied agents in management of DJD in horses^{10,53} and in small animals.³⁰⁻³⁴ They are further in agreement with human randomized double-blind controlled clinical trials that used preparations of glucosamine salts⁵⁴⁻⁶² and chondroitin sulfate⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ and have substantiated its efficacy in the management of DJD without side effects.⁶³ These findings are also in agreement with previous reports about the synergistic effects of the studied agents in forming GAGs, inhibiting degradative enzymes, and upregulating cartilage metabolism and matrix production.⁵¹ The study lacked a placebo group. However, the fact that the results for both the objective (stride length) and subjective outcomes (lameness and flexion test) were consistent allay the concern about the examiner's bias.

Researchers at the Marion duPont Scott Equine Medical Center and the Virginia-Maryland College of Veterinary Medicine are investigating the efficacy of the same glucosamine-chondroitin sulfate compound (Cosequin) in horses with DJD. Using a force plate designed to analyze the gait and provide a lameness score, lame horses were given the oral glucosamine-chondroitin sulfate compound or a placebo. Investigators were blinded to horses' alignment. Lameness in the horses receiving the compound resolved, whereas the lameness in the placebo group remained.⁶⁴

On the other hand, White and associates⁶⁵ conducted a study to assess the efficacy of the same glucosamine-chondroitin sulfate compound (Cosequin) where intra-articular Freund's adjuvant was used to induce synovitis in 12 horses. They reported no benefit from administering the compound within the parameters measured. However,

Continued

the model used in the study was a chemically induced severe synovitis that has been criticized as being excessively inflammatory.²⁴ As a result, the study's clinical relevance is questionable.⁶⁶ The study assessment of the measured outcomes was carried out for 26 days, which is not enough time to show the efficacy of any nutraceutical intervention in such a severe model. Trotter and associates⁶⁷ reported in a study lasting for 12 weeks that even injectable polysulfated GAGs had no effect on healing of articular cartilage lesions, and minimal chondroprotection from chemically induced lesions. Furthermore, the horses were treated simultaneously by analgesics. Given that the horses were treated simultaneously by analgesics, and that the induced synovitis operated mainly through pain, it is likely the results are biased. This is because horses in the control group are more likely to "suffer from undue pain" which was the criterion established by the investigators as an indication to use analgesics. Once a horse in the control group receives the analgesic, its condition may improve temporarily, and dilute the effect of the tested product, especially in light of the very short follow-up period. There is no mention about the type, dose, or frequency of the analgesics used in the study group. Moreover, there is no information about the standard deviations of the measurements which precludes the ability to calculate the study power where the minimum scientifically acceptable study power is 80%.⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰ If the study is underpowered, it might be considered as inconclusive, and not necessarily a negative one.

Future Directions

The therapeutic potential of a nutritional approach, like the studied agents, is extremely promising in horses. However, future controlled clinical trials are needed to assess the magnitude of the improvement in horses with DJD in the appropriate model or preferably in naturally occurring cases. The author is currently involved in a controlled double-blinded study in horses. The logical future of chondroprotection will involve determining and correcting abnormal joint load forces; providing chondroprotective nutritional agents, improving health and exercise habits in general, and judicious use of selected analgesics as needed.

REFERENCES

- Clark DM: The Biochemistry of Degenerative Joint Disease and its Treatment. *Comp Cont Ed Pract* 13:275-281, 1991.
- Palmer JL, Bertone AL: Joint Structure, Biochemistry and Biochemical Disequilibrium in Synovitis and Equine Joint Disease. *Equine Vet J* 26:263-277, 1994.
- Bucci LR: Chondroprotective Agents: Glucosamine Salts and Chondroitin Sulfates. *Townsend Letter for Doctors*, January, 1994, pp 52-54.
- Kellgren JH: Osteoarthritis in Patients and Populations. *Br Med J* 2:5243-5248, 1961.
- Martin DF: Pathomechanics of Knee Osteoarthritis. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 26(12):1429-1434, 1994.
- Bollet AJ, Nance JL: Biochemical Findings in Normal and Osteoarthritic Articular Cartilage II: Chondroitin Sulfate Concentration and Chain Length, Water and Ash Contents. *J Clin Invest* 45:1170-1175, 1968.
- Mankin HJ, Johnson ME, Lippiello L, et al: Biochemical and Metabolic Abnormalities in Articular Cartilage From Osteoarthritic Human Hips II, Correlation of Morphology and Biochemical and Metabolic Data. *J Bone Joint Surg* 53-523, 1971.
- Mankin HJ, Lippiello L: The Glycosaminoglycans of Normal and Arthritic Cartilage. *J Clin Invest* 50:1712-1719, 1971.
- Mankin HJ, Lippiello L: Biochemical and Metabolic Abnormalities in Articular Cartilage From Osteoarthritic Human Hips. *Jo Bone Joint Surg* 52:424-434, 1970.
- White NA: Equine Degenerative Joint Disease, Part 2. *Equine Pract* 17(7):11-14, 1995.
- Pool RR, Meaghe DM: Pathological Findings and Pathogenesis of Race-track Injuries. *Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract* 6:1-29, 1990.
- Stashak TS: Methods of Therapy. In: *Adam's Lameness in Horses*. Ed by TS Stashak. Philadelphia, Lea and Febiger, 1987, pp 840-877.
- Herman J, Appel AM, Khosla RC, et al: The in vitro Effect of Selected Classes of Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs on Normal Cartilage Metabolism. *J Rheumatol* 13:1014-1018, 1986.
- Brandt K: Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and Articular Cartilage. *J Rheumatol* 14(suppl):132-133, 1987.
- Palmoski MJ, Brandt KD: The Effect of Salicylates and Indomethacin on Glycosaminoglycan and Prostaglandin Enzyme Synthesis in Intact Canine Knee Cartilage ex vivo. *Arthritis Rheum* 27:398-403, 1984.
- Palmoski MJ, Brandt KD: Effects of Some Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs on Proteoglycan Metabolism and Organization in Canine Articular Cartilage. *Arthritis Rheum* 23:1010-1020, 1980.
- Gonzalez E, de la Cruz C, de Nicholas R, et al: Long-Term Effect on Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs on the Production of Cytokines and Other Inflammatory Mediators by Blood Cells of Patients With Osteoarthritis. *Agents and Actions* 41(3-4):171-178, 1994.
- Rashad S, Revell P, Hemingway A, et al: Effect of Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs on the Course of Osteoarthritis. *Lancet* 2:519-522, 1989.
- Clark DM: Current Concepts in the Treatment of Degenerative Joint Disease. *Comp Cont Ed Pract* 13:1439-1445, 1991.
- Serni U: Profile of Glucosamine Sulfate as an Example of Slow Acting Drug in Osteoarthritis. In: *Proceedings of the 18th ILAR Congress of Rheumatology*. *Rev Esp Reumatol* 20(suppl):222, 1993.
- Bassleer C, Henrotin Y, Franchimont P: In vitro Evaluation of Drugs Proposed as Chondroprotective Agents. *Int J Tissue React* 14:231-241, 1992.
- Ghosh P, Smith M, Wells C: Second-Line Agents in Osteoarthritis. In: *Second-Line Agents in the Treatment of Rheumatic Diseases*. Ed by J Dixon, D Furst. New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc, 1992, pp 363-427.
- Magillav DB: Animal Models of Chronic Inflammatory Arthritis. *Clin Orth Rel Res* 259:38-45, 1990.
- Todhunter RJ, Lust G: Polysulfated Glycosaminoglycans in the Treatment of Osteoarthritis. *JAVMA* 240:1245-1251, 1994.
- Glade MJ: Polysulfated Glycosaminoglycans Accelerates Net Synthesis of Collagen and Glycosaminoglycans by Arthritic Equine Cartilage Tissues and Chondrocytes. *Am J Vet Res* 51:779-785, 1990.
- Todhunter RJ, Freeman KP, Yeager AE, et al: Effects of Exercise and Polysulfated Glycosaminoglycans on the Development of Osteoarthritis in Equine Carpal Joints With Osteochondral Defects. *Vet Surg* 22:330-342, 1993.
- Karzel K, Domenjoz R: Effect of Hexosamine Derivatives and Uronic Acid Derivatives on Glycosaminoglycan Metabolism of Fibroblast Cultures. *Pharmacol* 5:337-345, 1971.
- Corliffe G, D'Este E: Glucosamine Sulfate for the Management of Arthrosis: A Controlled Clinical Investigation. *Curr Med Res Opin* 7:104-109, 1980.
- Vidal Y, Plana R, Bizzarri D, et al: Articular Cartilage Pharmacology: In vitro Studies on Glucosamine and Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs. *Pharmacol Res Commun* 10:557-569, 1978.
- Boulay JP, DeAngelis M, Kincaid SA, et al: Medical Therapy of Osteoarthritis in Dogs. *Vet Exchange* 17:1-20, 1995.
- Moore MG: Promising Responses to a New Oral Treatment for Degenerative Joint Disorder. *Canine Practice* 21(2):7-11, 1996.
- McNamara PS, Barr SC, Erb HN: Hematologic, Hemostatic, and Biochemical Effects in Dogs Receiving an Oral Chondroprotective Agent for Thirty Days. *Am J Vet Res* 57(9):1390-1394, 1996.
- Anderson MA, Beaver DP: Glycosaminoglycans in the Treatment of Degenerative Joint Disease in Small Animals. *Emerging Science and Technology*, Summer Issue, 1996.
- Boothe D, Camp R, Gelfand L, et al: Roundtable Discussion of Degenerative Joint Disease in Dogs. *Canine Practice* 21(1-4):1-16, 1996.
- Hanson RR: Mode of Action of Oral Chondroprotective Agents in Equine Practice. *Equine Practice* 17(6-9):16, 1995.
- Burkhardt D, Gosh P: Laboratory Evaluation of Antiarthritic Drugs as Potential Chondroprotective Agents. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism* 17:3-34, 1987.
- Setnikar I, Cerada R, Pacinic MA, et al: Anti-Reactive Properties of Glucosamine Sulfate. *Arzneimittel-Forschung*, pp 157-161, 1991.
- Setnikar I, Pacinic MA, Revel L: Antiarthritic Effects of Glucosamine Sulfate Studied on Animal Models. *Arzneimittel-Forschung*, pp 542-545, 1991.
- Setnikar I, Giachetti C, Zanolto G: Absorption, Distribution and Excretion of Radioactivity After a Single Intravenous or Oral Administration of C14-Glucosamine to the Rat. *Pharmacotheapeutica* 3:538-550, 1984.

Continued

DEGENERATIVE JOINT DISEASE

40. Setnikar I, Giachetti C, Zanolo G: Pharmacokinetics of Glucosamine in the Dog and in Man. *Drug Research* 36(1):729-735, 1986.
41. Paroli E, Antonilli L, Biffoni M: A Pharmacological Approach to Glycosaminoglycans. *Drugs Under Experimental and Clinical Research* 17(1):9-20, 1991.
42. Nizdek DJ, White KK: Corticosteroid and Hyaluronic Acid Treatments in Equine Degenerative Joint Disease. *Comell Vet* 71:355-375, 1981.
43. McNatt ML, Fiser FM, Elders MJ, et al: Uridine Diphosphate Xylosyltransferase Activity in Cartilage From Manganese Deficient Chicks. *Biochem J* 160: 211-216, 1976.
44. Palmieri L, Conte, Giovannini, et al: Metabolic Fate of Exogenous Chondroitin Sulfate in the Experimental Animal. *Drug Research* 40(1):319-323, 1990.
45. Conte A, Volpi N, Palmiera L, et al: Biochemical and Pharmacokinetic Aspects of Oral Treatment With Chondroitin Sulfate. *Arzneimittel-Forschung* 45: 918-925, 1995.
46. Prudden JF, Balassa LL: The Biological Activity of Bovine Cartilage Preparations. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism* 3:287-321, 1974.
47. Oliviero U, Sorrentino GP, Depaola P, et al: Effects of the Treatment With Matrix on Elderly People With Chronic Articular Degeneration. *Drugs Under Experimental and Clinical Research* 17:45-51, 1991.
48. Fioravanti A, Franci A, Anselmi F, et al: Clinical Efficacy and Tolerance of Galactosaminoglycogen Sulfate in the Treatment of Osteoarthritis. *Drugs Under Experimental and Clinical Research* 17:41-44, 1991.
49. Rovetta G: Galactosaminoglycogen Sulfate (Matrix) in Therapy of Tibiofemoral Osteoarthritis of the Knee. *Drugs Under Experimental and Clinical Research* 17:53-57, 1991.
50. Mazieres B, Loyau G, Menkes CJ, et al: Chondroitin Sulfate in the Treatment of Gonarthrosis and Coxarthrosis. Five Month Result of a Multicenter Double Blind Controlled Prospective Study Using Placebo. *Revue du Rhumatisme et des Maladies Osteo-Articulaires* 59:466-472, 1992.
51. Remedios AM, Fries CL: Treatment of Canine Hip Dysplasia: A Review. *Can Vet J* 36:503-509, 1995.
52. Hanson RR, Smalley LR, Huff GK, et al: Treatment With an Oral Glucosamine-Chondroitin Sulfate Compound for Degenerative Joint Disease in Horses: 25 cases. *Proceedings of 35th British Equine Veterinary Association Congress*, Warwick, UK, 1996, pp 74.
53. Jaeschke G, Steinbach W: Specific Treatment of Arthritis Deformans in Horses With Glucosamine Sulfate. *Deutsche-Tierärztliche-Wochenschrift* 89:288-293, 1982.
54. Drovanti A, Bignamini A, Rovati A: Therapeutic Activity of Oral Glucosamine Sulfate in Osteoarthritis: A Placebo-Controlled Double Blind Investigation. *Clinical Therapeutics* 3:260-272, 1980.
55. Rovati L, Setnikar I, Forster K, et al: Glucosamine Sulfate in Gonarthrosis: Efficacy in Placebo Controlled Studies. *Rev Esp Rheumatol* 20:72, 1993.
56. Crolle G, Este D: Glucosamine Sulfate for the Management of Arthritis: A Controlled Clinical Investigation. *Curr Med Res Opin* 7:104-109, 1980.
57. Pujalte JM, Liavore EP, Yescupidez FR: Double Blind Clinical Evaluation of Oral Glucosamine Sulfate in the Basic Treatment of Osteoarthritis. *Curr Med Res Opin* 7:110-113, 1980.
58. Giacobelli G, Rovati LC: Clinical Efficacy of Glucosamine Sulfate in Osteoarthritis of the Spine. *Rev Esp Rheumatol* 20:96, 1993.
59. Reichel A, Forster KK, Fisher M, et al: Efficacy and Safety of Intramuscular Glucosamine Sulfate in Osteoarthritis of the Knee. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Double Blind Study. *Arzneimittel-Forschung* 44:75-80, 1994.
60. Muller-Fabbender H, Bach GL, Haase W, et al: Glucosamine Sulfate Compared to Ibuprofen in Osteoarthritis of the Knee. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 2:61-69, 1994.
61. Vajaradul Y: Double Blind Clinical Evaluation of Intra-Articular Glucosamine in Outpatients With Gonarthrosis. *Clinical Therapeutics* 3:336-343, 1981.
62. Vas AL: Double Blind Clinical Evaluation of the Relative Efficacy of Ibuprofen and Glucosamine Sulfate in the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee in Outpatients. *Curr Med Res Opin* 8:145, 1982.
63. Bucci LR: Glycosaminoglycans. In: *Nutrition Applied to Injury Rehabilitation and Sports Medicine*. Ed by Wolinsky, JF Hickson. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 1995, pp 177-204.
64. White N: Personal Communication, 1996.
65. White GW, Jones EW, Hamm J, Sanders T: The Efficacy of Orally Administered Sulfated Glycosaminoglycan in Chemically Induced Equine Synovitis and Degenerative Joint Disease (Non-Refereed Articles and Papers). *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science* 14(7):350-353, 1994.
66. Richardson DW: Treatment of Degenerative Joint Disease. *Equine Veterinary Science* 11(4):210-212, 1991.
67. Trotter GW, Yovich JV, McIlwraith CW, Norrdin RW: Effects of Intramuscular Polysulfated Glycosaminoglycan on Chemical and Physical Defects in Equine Articular Cartilage. *Can J Vet Res* April 53(2):224-230, 1989.
68. Dupont WD, Plummer WD: Power and Sample Size Calculations: A Review. *Controlled Clinical Trials* 11:116-128, 1990.
69. Elwood JM: *Causal Relationship in Medicine*. New York, Oxford University Press, 1992.
70. Hulley SB, Cummings SR: *Designing Clinical Research*. Baltimore, Williams and Wilkins, 1988.

REPRINTS of this article may be obtained from the author
ONLY IF a self-addressed return envelope is enclosed.